Comment (Case 3700) – On the proposed designation of *Diplodocus carnegii* Hatcher, 1901 as the type species of *Diplodocus* Marsh, 1878 (Dinosauria, Sauropoda): application should be rejected based on new data (see BZN 73(1): 17–24 [Case]; BZN 73(2–4): 127, 128, 129–131, 134–135)

Vahe D. Demirjian

11 Canyon Terrace, Newport Coast, California 92657, U.S.A. (e-mail: vahedemirjian@cox.net)

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:BC987645-1225-4AAF-AB1A-A8974BCA379E

- 1. In a newly published abstract concerning the temporal distribution of Morrison Formation diplodocid sauropods, Tschopp et al. (2016) retreat from their previous treatment of Diplodocus longus as a nomen dubium (Tschopp et al., 2015) by suggesting that D. longus could be ancestral to D. carnegii and D. hallorum, judging from an overview of the temporal distribution of Morrison diplodocid specimens. When putting specimens of Morrison diplodocines into a stratigraphic context, the type locality of D. longus at Felch Quarry 1 in Garden Park, Colorado is situated low in the Brushy Basin Member of the Morrison Formation in contrast to the localities of known specimens of D. carnegii and D. hallorum, which are situated in the middle section of the Brushy Basin Member (see Turner & Peterson 1999, p. 86, fig. 7). Moreover, the fact that Galeamopus specimens partially overlap with the earliest known occurrences of Diplodocus (the Galeamopus skull USNM 2673 was found at the type locality of Diplodocus, and the Galeamopus skulls AMNH 969 and SMA 0011 were found lower in the Morrison Formation in the Salt Wash Member) lends support to the conclusion by Tschopp et al. (2016) that D. longus might be ancestral to D. carnegii and D. hallorum, because the occurrence of the diplodocine skulls CM 11255 (considered possibly belong to Barosaurus; Melstrom et al., 2016), CM 3452, CM 11161, and USNM 2672 within the range of unequivocal Diplodocus specimens suggests that Barosaurus/Kaatedocusstratigraphically co-existed with Diplodocus/Galeamopus-like like diplodocines forms.
- 2. Based on the as-yet-unpublished results of the abstract by Tschopp et al. (2016), I urge the Commission to reject the proposals in Case 3700 (Tschopp & Mateus, 2016) if the "Morosaurus" agilis holotype (USNM 5384) is confirmed to be from the same individual as YPM 1920 as stated by Tidwell et al. (2005).

## References

Melstrom, K.M., D'Emic, M.D., Wilson, J.A., Chure, D. 2016. A juvenile sauropod dinosaur from the Late Jurassic of Utah, U.S.A., presents further evidence of an avian style air sac system. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **36**(4): e1111898.

Tidwell, V., Carpenter, K. & Miles, C. 2005. A reexamination of *Morosaurus agilis* (Sauropoda) from Garden Park, Colorado. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **25**(S3): 122A.

**Tschopp, E., Giovanardi, S. & Maidment, S.C.R.** 2016. Temporal distribution of diplodocid sauropods across the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation (USA). P. 239. *In* Farke, A., MacKenzie, A. & Miller-Camp, J. (Eds.), *Meeting Program and Abstracts. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology 2016. Seventy-Sixth Annual Meeting. Grand America Hotel, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, October 26–29, 2016.* Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, Bethesda.

- **Tschopp, E. & Mateus, O.** 2016. Case 3700. *Diplodocus* Marsh, 1878 (Dinosauria, Sauropoda): proposed designation of *D. carnegii* Hatcher, 1901 as the type species. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*, **73**(1): 17–24.
- **Tschopp, E., Mateus, O. & Benson, R.B.J.** 2015. A specimen-level phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic revision of Diplodocidae (Dinosauria, Sauropoda). *PeerJ*, **3**: e857. https://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.857
- **Turner, C.E. & Peterson, F.** 1999. Biostratigraphy of dinosaurs in the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation of the Western Interior, USA. *In* Turner, C.E. & Peterson, F. (Eds.), Vertebrate paleontology in Utah. *Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publication*, **99–1**: 77–114. Geology Survey, Salt Lake City.